

ORIGINAL

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN**

REGINA GWYNN BAINES,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. District Court
Wisconsin Eastern

JAN 18 2018

FILED
Stephen C. Dries, Clerk

Case No. 15-CV-258

WALGREENS CO.,

Defendant.

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, empaneled and sworn to try the issues in this action, being directed by the court to answer the following questions submitted to us for verdict, find and answer as follows:

Question No. 1:

Has Regina Baines proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Walgreens did not hire her because she had previously filed one or more EEOC charges against Walgreens?

Answer:

Yes

X
No

If you answered "yes" to Question No. 1, answer Question No. 2.

If you answered "no" to Question No. 1, stop. Do not answer any other questions.

Question No. 2:

What sum of money, if any, will fairly and reasonably compensate Regina Baines for Walgreens' retaliation against her?

Answer:

\$ _____

Question No. 3:

Has Regina Baines proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Walgreens acted in reckless disregard of her right to be protected from retaliation?

Answer:

Yes

No

*If you answered "yes" to Question No. 3, answer Question No. 4.
If you answered "no" to Question No. 3, stop.*

Question No. 4:

Has Walgreens proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it made a good faith effort to implement an anti-retaliation policy?

Answer:

Yes

No

*If you answered "yes" to Question No. 4, stop.
If you answered "no" to Question No. 4, answer Question No. 5*

Question No. 5

What sum of money will fairly punish Walgreens for acting in reckless disregard of Regina Baines' right to be protected from retaliation and deter such conduct by Walgreens and other employers in the future?

Answer:

\$ _____

Please sign and date the final page of this verdict form.

Mark DeLoach
Foreperson

1-18-18
Date